Response to October 18, 2006 Restriction Requirement

Application No. 10/003,041

Page 8

REMARKS

In the Official Action, the Examiner required an election of one of the following groups

of claims:

Group II:

Group I: Claims 1-6 and 10-17, and 20-22 drawn to selecting via a web page a plurality of

receipt programs associated with an intelligent appliance wherein the plurality of

recipe programs are a subset of recipe programs chosen from a group of recipe

program available to be chosen for the intelligent appliance; storing the selection

of the plurality of recipe programs in a user profile at a location remote from the

intelligent appliance; downloading at least one of the plurality of recipe programs

to the intelligent appliance; receiving a message from the intelligent appliance

requesting a new recipe program, the message being sent from the intelligent

appliance without user intervention; and upon finding the new recipe program in a

database, transmitting the new recipe to the intelligent appliance, classified in

class 700, subclass 90.

Claims 7 and 9, drawn to a user identifier element that identifies a record in a

 $database \ an \ appliance \ identifier \ element \ linked \ to \ the \ user \ identifier \ element \ that$

identifies an intelligent appliance; a plurality of recipe program elements linked

to the appliance identifier element; and un unique product code element

associated with at least one of the plurality of recipe program elements, classified

in class 707, subclass 1.

Claim 18, drawn to downloading the plurality of recipe programs to a memory in

an intelligent appliance from the user profile; determining whether the code input

Response to October 18, 2006 Restriction Requirement

Application No. 10/003,041

Page 9

by the code input device is associated with any one of the plurality of recipe

programs stored in the memory in the intelligent appliance; transmitting a request

for a recipe program if the input code from the code input device is not associated

with any one of the plurality of recipe programs; and receiving, at the intelligent

appliance, a new recipe program associated with the code input by the code input

device, classified in class 700, subclass 11.

Group III: Claim 19, drawn to storing the plurality of recipe programs in a user profile in a

location remote from an intelligent appliance; downloading at least one of the

plurality of recipe programs to the intelligent appliance; receiving a message from

the intelligent appliance requesting a new recipe program; if the new recipe

program is found in a database, transmitting the new recipe to the intelligent

appliance; and if the new recipe program is not found in a database, updating the

user profile with a continuing request for the new recipe program, classified in

class 707, subclass 3.

Response to October 18, 2006 Restriction Requirement

Application No. 10/003,041

Page 10

In response to the Examiner's restriction requirement, Applicant elects to prosecute the claims of Group I. Accordingly, Claim 18 in Group II and Claim 19 in Group III have been withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 14, 2006

Manna N. Saito Registration No. 42,121

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. Box 061080

Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080

(312) 876-8000